

February 1, 1991 LB 67, 88, 102, 125, 209, 344
 LR 24

reports LB 344 to General File with amendments. That's signed by Senator Smith. Natural Resources reports LB 67 to General File with amendments attached. (See pages 503-05 of the Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, in addition to that, amendments to be printed to LB 209, and LB 125. (See pages 505-06 of the Legislative Journal.)

New resolution. (Read brief summary of LR 24. See pages 506-07 of the Legislative Journal.) Hearing notices from Agriculture Committee, Business and Labor Committee, Judiciary, and Education. (See pages 507-09 of the Legislative Journal re: LB 366, LB 274, LB 275, LB 398, LB 282, LB 312, LB 583, LB 39, LB 411, LB 498, LB 562, LB 690, LB 148, LB 315, LB 343, LB 392, LB 422, LB 561, LB 245, LB 699, LB 707, LB 676, LB 663, LB 784, LB 179, LR 6, LB 698, LB 481, LB 554, LB 574, LB 633, LB 786, LB 166, LB 225, LB 479, LB 522, LB 464, LB 512, LB 719, LB 785, LB 684, LB 223, LB 389, LB 622, and LR 8.)

Mr. President, Senator Coordsen would move to amend Senator Kristensen's amendment. (Coordsen amendment is printed on pages 509-10 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER BAACK: Okay, we now have amendments to the amendment by Senator Coordsen.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the body. I feel, in this particular issue, that we're all out wading in the lake without knowing where the rocks are at. And I'm probably about as equipped to discuss this amendment as what, perhaps, some of our members who are also members of the bar would be to discuss with me the proper means of neutering a male pig. But nonetheless, whatever it is that we're about this morning, I would hope that what we're doing is fair. I think the Pages should be distributing a copy of this amendment right now. What it addresses is something that's perhaps a little bit different than the main subject matter of LB 88 but, nonetheless, inclusive. And I fully expect to be shot down and cut to pieces and all of those other things that happen to a person who is talking without a great deal of knowledge in an area. But it's my understanding that under the current practice of tort law, and under the practice that would be allowable should LB 88 be adopted as presented or modified by 102 that what appears to me to be an unfair situation would continue to